

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/580,156	05/30/2000	Lawrence B. Sandberg	97-489-US-P	1346	
759	90 07/08/2003				
Raymond A. Miller, Esq BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP 2300 BP Tower 200 Public Square Cleveland, OH 44114-2378			EXAMINER		
			BORIN, MICHAEL L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1631	15	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/580,156

Sandberg

Examiner

Art Unit

		Michael Borin	1631	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence addre	33
Therefore rejection allower	FAILS TO PLACE ore, further action by the applicant is required to a von under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a tince; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appean compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	mely filed amendment which place	lication. A prop ces the applicati	per reply to a final ion in condition for
(•	REPLY [check only a) or b)]		
a) [The period for reply expires months from	the mailing date of the final rejection.		
-	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIR See MPEP 706.07(f).	for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS ST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO M	S from the mailing IONTHS OF THE F	date of the INAL REJECTION.
exte appi set i	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The sion fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determinate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if a ling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reserved.	nining the period of extension and the com: (1) the expiration date of the shor checked. Any reply received by the Of	corresponding amo tened statutory pe ifice later than thro	ount of the fee. The eriod for reply originally see months after the
1.□	A Notice of Appeal was filed on	. Appellant's Brief must be file R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	ed within the port of the appeal.	eriod set forth in
2. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) D	$ec{oldsymbol{ol{ol}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}} $	r consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);	
(b) [\Box they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b	elow);		
(c) D	they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by materia	lly reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) [\Box they present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of finall	y rejected claim	ıs.
٨	NOTE: See attachment			
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the r		vould be allowa	able if submitted in
	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request application in condition for allowance because: See attached.	t for reconsideration has been cor	nsidered but do	es NOT place the
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which v	were newly raised
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected:			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u> </u>		
8. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is all approved or	b disappro	ved by the Examine
9. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		MAN
10. × 0			M. PRIN	ICHAEL BORIN MARY EXAMINER RT UNIT 1631

Serial Number: 09/580156 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

ADVISORY ACTION

1. Amendment after final filed 06/16/2003 is acknowledged.

2. Amendments to the claims are not entered because the proposed claim

language "comprising" (see amendments to claims 1,13,19-23) will necessitate

further search and new rejections of proteins comprising recited sequences.

3. Accordingly, rejections of claims 1-11,13,19,20-23 under 35 U.S.C. 112,

second paragraph, and claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), are maintained for the

reasons of record (even though the proposed amendment would have overcome these

rejections).

4. In regard to claim 13 which is rejected under obviousness-type double

patenting, the rejection is maintained for the reasons of record and in view of the

following. It is surprising that applicant argues that "claim 13 fails to recite any

pharmaceutical application, and merely claims a peptide corresponding to SEQ ID Nos.

42 and 43"¹. Specification clearly teaches pharmaceutical use of the claimed peptides

¹Also, addressing claimed subject matter as a "peptide corresponding to SEQ ID Nos. 42 and 43" is confusing because the proposed amendment do not use the "peptide corresponding ..." language any longer.

Serial Number: 09/580156

Page 3

Art Unit: 1631

utility. If applicant has in mind some other utility, it is not in the specification and will constitute a new matter. As to the pharmaceutical use, as was stated in the rejection, it is well known in the peptide art to administer peptide in a form of their prodrugs which have protected N- and/or C- termini because such substitution allows to optimize their solubility and/or stability and make them more suitable for pharmaceutical applications. As to the lack of cited secondary references, amide at C-terminal, or acetyl at N-terminal are the most routine substituents used in the peptide art. For example, Borovsky et al. (US Patent 5,358,934) teaches that the derivation of N-terminus and C-terminus of peptides is well known in the art, that the N-terminus and C-terminus of the peptides can be blocked to inhibit proteolysis by metabolic peptides, and that common ways of such protection is acylation of Nterminal or amidation of C-terminal. See paragraph bridging columns 2 and 3.

Thus, Examiner maintains that peptides SEQ ID Nos. 42,43 are obvious variants of earlier patented SEQ ID No. 17. The rejection can be obviated by filing a terminal disclaimer over US 6,069,129.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4506. Dr. Borin can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30 A.M. to Serial Number: 09/580156

Page 4

Art Unit: 1631

5:00 P.M. EST Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 308-4028. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

July 7, 2003

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

mlb